NOTE:

The attached pages are corrected pages and should be substituted for the corresponding pages in the record.

26 AUGUST 1947

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
GENDA, Minoru (recalled)	26755
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Tavenner	26755
(Witness excused)	26756
KUSAKA, Ryunosuke	26757
Direct by Mr. Roberts	26757
(Witness excused)	26769
ENOMOTO, Juji (recalled)	26782
Direct by Mr. Roberts	26782
(Witness excused)	26820
NOON RECESS	26821
SAWADA, Shigeru	26847
Direct by Mr. Blewett	26847
Cross by Mr. Tavenner	26856
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	26860
AFTERNOON RECESS	26860
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Tavenner	26861
(Witness excused)	26862
KONDO, Nobutake (recalled)	26863
Direct by Mr. Blewett	26863
(Witness excused)	26868

The proceedings were begun at 1300.

THE PRESIDENT: I refer you to the proceedings in chambers on 18 August 1947, page 7. I said there that character evidence is not evidence directed to an issue with the British. However, technically, evidence of general character can be given, but that seldom happens.

I refer to R. V. Rowton, 10 Cox C.C. 25, where the Lord Chief Justice said: "It seldom happens that evidence is called to the character of a prisoner when those who represent the prisoner are aware that the character will be liable to be rebutted."

In my experience, evidence of general character, and that is all that can be given, has never been resorted to to establish innocence, although clearly it is technically receivable. Evidence of general character would simply be that the prisoner's general reputation was good.

In the case of the accused in this trial it may be difficult to see how evidence of general character could give any assistance on the determination of any of the issues; but a majority of the Judges may take a different view and may be prepared to receive evidence of general character in the limited sense reputation.

the principal rules of international law which are taught to the Armies and Navies. There is no contest about that. This doesn't establish any more.

MR. ROBERTS: If the Court will take judicial notice that these precepts of international law were taught at the Japanese naval college, and then we will be satisfied that this witness' testimony to that effect will be unnecessary.

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose the prosecution's case is not that it was not taught but that it was not acted upon. But, we would like to hear from Mr.

Tavenner with a view to shortening this.

MR. ROBERTS: May I just point out that my reference has been to Section 7 of the Indictment which states that, "The educational systems, civil, military and naval, were used to inculcate a spirit of totalitarianism, aggression, desire for war, cruelty and hatred of potential enemies."

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, the prosecution does not contest the assertion by counsel that international law was taught in the military and naval colleges.

THE PRESIDENT: We are overdue on the recess.
We will adjourn until half past one.

(Whereupon, at 1202, a recess was taken.)

1 2 3

the usual terms?

When military personnel came to the Island in March
1944 my own house and half of the company's structures
were turned over to them because of lack of barracks.
Even then part of the troops had to live in tents.

"5. I know nothing about restrictions on foreigners visiting Saipan, Tinian, and the nearby Islands. I do know that the Nanyo Trading Company which had an office in Guam pulled out in 1933 because of numerous trade restrictions and that in 1936, I believe, Japanese were prohibited by American authorities from going there."

You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the

Tribunal, we do not wish to cross-examine the witness.

MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be excused on

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. ROBERTS: We now call the witness

Nisaburo MUKAWA.

7 8

accused HIROTA, had declared that the Washington
Treaty was going to be abrogated even if the other
nations agreed to Japan's proposal?" Your answer
to that question was "Yes."

I will ask you now if you fully understood the question as translated and whether your answer was correct?

THE PRESIDENT: You cannot get him to give another answer that way in re-examination. As I have said repeatedly, and as we all know, you can clear up things that are left obscure by the cross-examination. But, nothing has been left obscure by the cross-examination. If something that Mr. Carr said was wrongly translated into Japanese and the answer was given to this wrong translation, then we can have it corrected now, but there is a way of doing that.

MR. BRANNON: I was merely informed that the question was misunderstood by the witness and that he wished to correct his answer, and I was only doing it for the purpose of informing the Tribunal as to the correct set of facts.

THE PRESIDENT: That is not within the scope of re-examination, but it would be possible for this Court, not being bound by any technical rules, to allow another set of questions. But, it would be a very dangerous

precedent.

MR. BRANNON: I have no desire to press the matter.

MR. HANAI: I am counsel HANAI. I wish to conduct cross-examination on behalf of the accused HIROTA.

THE PRESIDENT: It is too late. It would infer re-examination. You should have followed Mr. Comyns Carr or Major Furness, who, if he did anything, cross-examined, although apparently nothing prejudicial to his client was said by the witness. You can only ask questions now with the express permission of the Court, and, as I said before, that would be a dangerous precedent. You have got to be vigilant in the conduct of your client's case.

MR. HANAI: It will be only a very brief cross-examination, your Honor. May I have the Tribunal's permission?

THE PRESIDENT: I think a majority are prepared to hear you. Proceed to put your questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANAI:

Q Now, in answer to a question put to you by the prosecutor if you know whether or not HIROTA and OKADA declared that Japan was prepared to withdraw from

	SUSUMU NISHIURA, called as a witness in
1	behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
2	testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:
3	
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. BLEWETT:
6	Q Will you please state your name and address?
7	A My name is NISHIURA, Susuma. My address is
8	No. 560, Ome, Ome-Cho, Nishitama-Gun, Tokyo.
9	MR. BLEWETT: May witness be handed document
10	number 1690?
11	(Whereupon, a document was handed to
12	the witness.)
13	Q Is that your affidavit?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Are the contents true and correct?
16	C A Yes.
17	MR. BLEWETT: I offer in evidence defense docu-
18	ment 1690.
19	
20	
21	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1690 will
22	receive defense exhibit number 3023.
23	(Whereupon, the document above referred
24	to was marked defense exhibit No. 3023.)
25	MR. BLEWETT: I shall read the exhibit 3023:
	"1. I was serving in the business concerning

-

the military preparations in the Military Affairs Section, the Military Affairs Bureau, the War Ministry, from October 1931 to August 1941, except the period from April 1934 to February 1937 during which I was residing

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

JUJI ENOMOTO, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, having been previously sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

MR. ROBERTS: The witness has previously examined the document and I believe we have covered the preliminary steps. I read Exhibit 3011, beginning at page 24:

"Overtures for preliminary negotiations by way of preparation for the naval disarmament conference scheduled for 1935 were received from Sir John Simon, British Secretary of Foreign Affairs, on 17 May, 1934. The Japanese Government accepted forthwith and designated Mr. MATSUDAIRA, Ambassador to Great Britain, as delegate. Negotiations were started by the representatives of U.S.A., Great Britain and Japan on 18 June; but as the talks, instead of being confined to the question of procedure for the conference to be held the following year, began to get involved deeply in the substance of naval limitation, they were discontinued for a time on 12 July, with the understanding that they should be reopened on 23 October. On 7 September the Japanese Government designated Rear-Admiral Isoroku YAMAMOTO (promoted to Vice-Admiral while in

.

SHIGERU SAWADA, called as a witness in behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, 1 testified through Japanese interpreters as follows: 2 MR. BLEWETT: May the witness be shown the 3 affidavit, please, defense document No. 1523? 4 5 (Whereupon, a document is shown to the witness.) DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. BLEWETT: 9 Is that your affidavit? 10 Yes, it is. But there is one correction I 11 would like to make in the text. 12 MR. BLEWETT: You may do so. 13 THE WITNESS: On page 1 in the English text, 14 the fourth line of paragraph 2, the words, "I went, 15 with the permission of the Chief and the approval of 16 the War Minister, to Hongkong", "to Hongkong" should be 17 corrected to "at Hongkong"; this should be "I was at 18 Hongkong," instead of "I went to Hongkong." 19 MR. BLEWETT: I offer in evidence defense docu-20 ment No. 1523. 21 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 22 THE CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 23 1523 will receive defense Exhibit No. 3013.

(Whereupon, the document above re-

24

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. Please give them a number. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution documents 837-B, C, and D will be marked exhibits 628-B, C, and D. (Whereupon, the documents above re-ferred to were marked prosecution exhibits 628-B, C, and D, respectively, and received in evidence.) MR. BLEWETT: I call the witness SATO, Take-goro.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certificates. We have not been supplied with them, and you have not read them.

MR. BLEWETT: This affidavit, sir, simply shows that No. 2, which was read as an opinion of this official of the Foreign Office, is not an official document. At least there is some doubt about it.

THE PRESIDENT: Can he add to his reasons for thinking that? He has told us on what he bases that belief.

MR. BLEWETT: I think it has been covered fully, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

CROSS -E XAMINATION

BY MR. TAVENNER:

Were you shown yesterday afternoon or this morning the three certificates that I introduced in evidence yesterday?

A Yes.

Those certificates were signed by your superior Mr. HAYASHI, were they not?

Yes. A

You are his assistant? Q

That is so. A

Are they correct or not?

n a p p & Y e 1 d e

K

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

THE MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, with regard to the paragraph in the middle of page 3 of the affidavit, beginning with, "The Navy had been consistently opposed to our country entering into an alliance with Germany and Italy," the prosecution desires to refer the Tribunal to the following exhibits.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not recall excusing the witness.

(Whereupon, the witness resumed the stand.)

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Carr, you may pro-

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, my friend, Mr. BANNO, says he desires to ask some further questions in direct examination.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. BANNO.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: I am counsel SHIMANOUCHI.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. SHIMANOUCHI:

Q To what extent was the witness connected with the drafting of operations plans of the Navy

11

13

15

17

18

20

21

23

AFTERNOON SESSION

R

eich

е

S

&

K

a p 1 e

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: With the Tribunal's permission, the accused ITAGAKI is absent from the court-room, conferring with his counsel. He will be absent during the whole of the afternoon.

Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: I call the witness HIGASA, Ken.

.

3

6

7 8

9

10

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

22

23 24

25

ing of troops in Indo-China was chiefly to maintain the stability of that country. However, it did assist operations against China and help make peaceful commerce possible. The stationing of troops there was for defensive military protection and was an appropriate measure of national defense, I believe."

* * * *

We call the attention of the Tribunal to prosecution exhibit No. 809 which seems to have been relied upon to a great extent in proving military preparations.

From Page 9011 of the Record I read this portion of that exhibit to indicate the date on which these preparations took place:

"Available references documenting these preparations are as yet fragmentary, especially in respect to specialized training operations in tropical warfare reputed to have taken place throughout the summer and fall of 1941. As the date of the opening of hostilities is approached, however, pertinent references grow more plentiful, until for the month of November 1941 it is possible to piece together a fairly comprehensive picture of Japanese military preparations."

It is also respectfully submitted that according to the same exhibit No. 809 no general mobilization of the military took place in Japan until October

.1941.

Reference is also made to page 9050 of the Record, a quotation from the same exhibit, regarding so-called land operation practice; this comment is made: "Little, if any, military advantage resulted from those operations, and it would now appear that they had been intended solely for training....."

I call the witness, NISHIMURA, Susumu.